	CIV-130
	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Trorney or Party without attorney (Name, State Bar number, and address): Leith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797 Scheuer & Gillett, 4640 AdmiraltyWay, #402, Marina del Rey, CA90292	
TELEPHONE NO.:310 577-1170 FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optionel): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Bruce J. Kelman CAN DIEGO	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose Drive MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92083-6643	
North County	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Bruce J. Kelman	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Sharon Kramer	CASE NUMBER 37-2010-00061530-CU-D
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER	- NC
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$25,000) LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$25,000 or less)	
TO ALL PARTIES: 1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): July 2, 2012	
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.	
Date: July 6, 2012	and
Keith Scheuer, Esq.	(SIGNATURE)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)	

FENDANT/RESPONDENT: Snaroli Kramer	F BY FIRST CLASS MAIL
PROOF OF SERVIC	E BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
NOTICE OF ENTRY	OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER
OTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgme e notice must complete this proof of service.)	ent or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served
I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this action	n. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took y):
4640 Admiralty Way State 100	
I served a copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment or O	
denosited the sealed envelope with the office	ed States Postal Service. Indicate the processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, are day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is
with which I am readily familian. On the deposited in the ordinary course of business	s with the United States Postal Service.
. The Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order was mailed:	
b. from (city and state): Marina Del Rey, CA 90)292
The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:	
a. Name of person served:Sharon Kramer	c. Name of person served:
Street address: 2031 Arborwood Place	Street address:
city: Escondido	City:
State and zip code:California 92029	State and zip code:
b. Name of person served:	d. Name of person served:
Street address:	Street address:
City:	City: State and zip code:
State and zip code:	
Names and addresses of additional persons	served are attached. (You may use form POS-030(P).)
5. Number of pages attached 5	State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
	s State of Camoring as
Date:July 6, 2012	
g 1 Fee	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
Keith Scheuer, Esq. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF BEDS 4.2.1.)

		FOR COURT USE ONLY
GUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACTOMETRIC COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 FAMILY COURT, 1555 6TH AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3294 MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 921 MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 921 MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 921 MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 920 MADGE BRADLEY BLDG., 1409 4TH AVE., SAN DIEGO, CA 920 MADGE BRADLEY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 920 MADGE BRADON BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 920 MADGE SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 920 MADGE SOUTH COUNTY, 2851 MEADOW LARK DR., SAN DIEGO, CA JUVENILE COURT, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92083-66 MADGE SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION BEADOW LARK DR., SAN DIEGO, CA SHINTIFF(S)/PETITIONER(S) BRUCE J. KELMAN EFENDANT(S)/RESPONDENT(S) SHARON KRAMER	1-3827 01-3105 AN DIEGO, CA 92123-1187 92083-6643 20-3941 55-5200 91910-5649 (92123-2792) 334	FILED Clerk of the Superior Court JUL 0 2 2012 BY: A. LUM JUDGE: THOMAS P. NUGENT DEPT: 30 CASE NUMBER
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (CCP 1013a(4))	BI MAIL	37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC
on the parties shown below by placing a true copy in a separat	e envelope, addressed as s	nown below; each envelope was then sealed and □ San Diego ☑ Vista □ El Cajor
on the parties shown below by placing a true copy in a separate with postage thereon fully prepaid, deposited in the United Standard Chula Vista Ramona, California. NAME & ADDRESS Keith Scheuer, Esq. 4640 Admiralty Way, Ste. 402 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292	Sharon Kramer 2031 Arborwood Escondido, CA 9	NAME & ADDRESS Place
	CLERK OF TH	E SUPERIOR COURT

JUL 0 22012

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH COUNTY DIVISION

BRUCE J. KELMAN,

Plaintiff,

V.

SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR
CIVIL CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION

CASE NO.: 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC

JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR
CIVIL CONTEMPT AND PERMANENT

INJUNCTION

Defendants.

On June 25, 2012, in Department N-30 of the above Court, the Honorable Thomas P. Nugent, Judge presiding, this matter came on regularly for trial, and for hearing on the Revised Order to Show Cause re <u>civil contempt</u> issued by this Court on April 24, 2012. Keith Scheuer, Esq. of Scheuer & Gillett appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Bruce J. Kelman. No one appeared on behalf of Defendant Sharon Kramer.

The Court, having heard the testimony and reviewed the written evidence submitted by Plaintiff, and Defendant having failed to appear at trial, to produce a defense or to oppose the Revised Order to Show Cause, rules as follows:

11.1

3 4 5

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, with respect to Plaintiff's request that Defendant be held in **civil contempt**, the Court finds that, in the course of proceedings in the case of Kelman v. Kramer, 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC, this Court issued a preliminary injunction, filed on May 2, 2011, enjoining Defendant Sharon Kramer from republishing a statement that had been found to be libelous in an action titled Kelman v. Kramer, San Diego Superior Court Case No. GIN 044539. In relevant part, the preliminary injunction provided:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, during the pendency of this action, defendant Sharon Kramer is enjoined and restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any means whatsoever, the following statement: "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.

Defendant opposed the issuance of the preliminary injunction orally and in writing, was present during oral arguments leading to the issuance of the preliminary injunction, was served with the written preliminary injunction and at all times had actual knowledge of its existence and terms.

Defendant willfully failed to comply with the Court's order and violated the preliminary injunction as follows:

1. With full knowledge of the preliminary injunction, Defendant republished the defamatory statement by posting it on the Internet on three separate occasions: on March 19, 2012, on the online discussion board of the American Industrial Hygiene Association; on March 27, 2012, on the blog Contempt of Courtfor.ME; and April 2, 2012, on the blog ContemptofCourtfor.ME. Each of these publications constitutes a separate act of **civil contempt** and, pursuant to C.C.P. § 1218(a), subjects Defendant/Contemner for each act of contempt to a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), payable to the Court or

imprisonment not exceeding five days, or both and payment of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs incurred in seeking the Order to Show Cause.

- 2. The preliminary injunction is a valid order. The contemner at all times was able to comply with its terms, and she willfully chose not to do so.
- 3. Upon the application of Plaintiff, a Revised Order to Show Cause re contempt was issued and filed on April 24, 2012. The Revised Order to Show Cause ordered her to appear before this Court on June 25, 2012, and show cause why she should not be held in contempt for violating the preliminary injunction by republishing the libel as set forth above.
 - 4. After due consideration, the Court finds, beyond a reasonable doubt:
- (a) That contemner Sharon Kramer is guilty of <u>civil contempt</u> of court in violation of section 1209(a) (5) of the Code of Civil Procedure, for disobedience of a lawful judgment, order, or process of the Court, by republishing the defamatory statement as set forth above.
- (b) That contemner had knowledge of the preliminary injunction, was able to comply at the time of the preliminary injunction and continues to have such ability, and has willfully failed to comply with the preliminary injunction.
- (c) That, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), contemner is ordered to pay to Plaintiff the attorney's fees of \$8,400.00 incurred by Plaintiff in bringing the Order to Show Cause for contempt.
- entry of this Judgment and Order, publish on the American Industrial Hygiene Association website and on the blog ContemptofCourtfor.ME a retraction of the defamatory statement set forth above. At the conclusion of such thirty (30) day period, the Court will determine the appropriate punishment, pursuant to C.C.P. section 1218(a), and in making such determination the Court will take into

consideration whether contemner has published the retraction as set forth in this paragraph.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant Sharon Kramer on the Complaint in this action, and that Defendant Sharon Kramer is hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from stating, repeating or publishing, by any means whatsoever, the following statement:

"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, in addition to \$8,400.00 in attorney's fees as set forth above, Plaintiff hereby is awarded One Dollar (1.00) in nominal damages; and costs of suit in an amount to be determined pursuant to code.

THOMAS P. NUGENT)

Judge of the Superior Court