

XVII.

SEVENTY YEARS OF DISCRIMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTALLY INJURED BY LINEAR-DOSE MODELS

The gist of this paper has been to shed light in no uncertain terms, on how much money is being made off the backs of the sick, weak and poor by “nonprofit” medical associations mass-disseminating one linear dose-response no-threshold model (LNT), the Veritox Theory. It is also about how the fraud that it is proven microbial toxins in WDB do not harm, has continued to flourish and grow for over a decade directly because of SLAPP-suit fixing in the California courts by leading California justices. And it is about ACMT’s and other “nonprofit’s” duty to the public to stop teaching the lucrative scientific fraud, the Veritox Theory, under the guise of current accepted science -- because by doing so, you are causing devastation, disability, death, and the dumbing-down of U.S. physicians.

Yet amazingly, the problem goes even deeper than that. The reality is that the origin of deception by LNT is much older than merely a decade and does not just adversely impact those disabled by WDB. The misuse of LNT models to deny liability for causation of environmentally induced illnesses and deaths has been occurring in this country for nearly seventy years. Like its progeny Veritox Theory, the origin of LNT misuse was born not from science but from political will, toxic clout, and cronyism. As such, not just the Veritox Theory, but all LNT’s need to be stopped from being promoted in science and policy as being able to prove more than they are scientifically capable of doing.

As documented in the newly published, “On the origins of the linear no-threshold (LNT) dogma by means of untruths, artful dodges and blind faith”, [202] misapplications of LNT models by “learned bodies” of “esteemed colleagues” have harmed uncountable numbers of people. The author, Dr. Ed Calabrese of the Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, writes:

“This paper is an historical assessment of how prominent radiation geneticists in the United States during the 1940s and 1950s successfully worked to build acceptance for the linear no-threshold (LNT) dose-response model in risk assessment, significantly impacting environmental, occupational and medical exposure standards and practices to the present time. Detailed documentation indicates that actions taken in support of this policy revolution were ideologically driven and deliberately and deceptively misleading; that scientific records were artfully misrepresented; and that people and organizations in positions of public trust failed to perform the duties expected of them. Key activities are described and the roles of specific individuals are documented. These actions culminated in a 1956 report by a Genetics Panel of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences (NAS) on Biological Effects of Atomic Radiation (BEAR). In this report the Genetics Panel recommended that a linear dose response model be adopted for the purpose of risk assessment, a recommendation that was rapidly and widely promulgated. The paper argues that current international cancer risk assessment policies are based on fraudulent actions of the U.S. NAS BEAR I Committee, Genetics Panel and on the uncritical, unquestioning and blind-faith acceptance by regulatory agencies and the scientific community....The NAS Genetics Panel committed scientific misconduct by falsifying, fabricating and then publishing in the journal Science its doctored estimates of human genetic risk to radiation exposures. The Panel's deceptions were designed to prevent the scientific community and the general public from knowing the profound uncertainties entailed in its genetic risk estimates, thereby insuring the ready acceptance of its policy recommendations...The implications of such fraudulent actions are profound and likely to affect: human health risk assessment, adoption and use of new technologies, cost benefit assessments at multiple societal levels, toxic tort actions/decisions, and in the education of the public on vast areas of environmental health and medical treatment practices.”

[202] Calabrese EJ. *“On the origins of the linear no-threshold (LNT) dogma by means of untruths, artful dodges and blind faith”* Environ Res. 2015 Aug 3;142:432-442.doi: 10.1016
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935115300311>