

IX.

**2012 VOID PERMANENT INJUNCTION TO NOT “REPUBLISH” A SENTENCE NEVER PUBLISHED,
PURPOSED TO KEEP THE VERITOX THEORY IN U.S. POLICIES & U.S. COURTS
BY CRIMINAL MEANS**

In July of 2012, Judge Thomas Nugent issued a void permanent injunction to cast doubt that I was telling the truth of the case-fixings purposed to fleecing the public with the Veritox Theory. Making it appear that I was lawfully found guilty of libel and that my 2005 writing was maliciously false; Judge Nugent bestowed upon me a distinction that I believe makes me one-of-a-kind.

I am most likely the only United States citizen to have a void permanent injunction, issued from a court with no subject matter jurisdiction, to never republish a sentence that I never published in the first place. Lawfully published here is the sentence for which I am “permanently enjoined” to never “republish” by a judge whose court had no subject matter jurisdiction because he knowingly used a prior void judgment to feign his court’s jurisdiction:

“Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand’ while he testified as a witness in an Oregon trial.” [130]

Despite a decade of rigged SLAPP suits I have no permanent injunction, void or otherwise, barring me from republishing the real sentences in my 2005 writing. Below are the words they sought to have me permanently enjoined from republishing by the 2010 COMPLAINT [131] [See fn 77 for entire writing]

“Dr. Bruce Kelman of GlobalTox, Inc. [renamed Veritox, Inc.] a Washington based environmental risk management company, testified as an expert witness for the defense, as he does in mold cases throughout the country. Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne’s attorney of Kelman’s prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by “toxic mold” exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.

In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries’ associations [“nonprofits”]. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine [ACOEM]”

There is no question that my 2005 sentences accurately state what has occurred to cause the Veritox Theory to become policy, and that Mr. Kelman *“altered his under oath statements”* after being confronted with the Kilian transcript by Vance.

1) *“Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne’s attorney of Kelman’s prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand.”* [132] [133]

2) *“He [Mr. Kelman] admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure.”* [See fn 80 pdf pages 6-7]

3) *“A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine [ACOEM]”* [See fn 80 pdf pages 6-7]

To this very day, Veritox, Inc. continues their profitably libelous charade. Their website lies as to what occurred in the fixed California SLAPP suits that has aided them to stay in the business of causing death, disability, discrimination, and destruction of lives via the proliferated Veritox Theory; and to stay out of jail for the criminal acts in SLAPP [134] From the Veritox website:

“On August 26, 2008, a San Diego County Superior Court trial jury found that Sharon Kramer libeled Dr. Bruce Kelman of Veritox®, Inc., when she published a press release in March 2005. In her press release, Mrs. Kramer stated that Dr. Kelman had altered his under-oath statements on the witness stand when he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit. The jury found that Mrs. Kramer’s statement was false and defamatory and that she had published it with malice. The Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment on behalf of Dr. Kelman. Nevertheless, Mrs. Kramer persisted in spreading the defamation. Accordingly, in November, 2010, Dr. Kelman filed a second lawsuit against her, to enjoin her from republishing the libel. The San Diego Superior Court issued a preliminary injunction against her in May 2011. She willfully disobeyed the injunction on several occasions, and as a consequence was incarcerated for civil contempt of court for two days in March 2012, and was fined \$3,000 in July 2012.” The Court [FALSE, not a court, but a rogue judge] entered judgment in Dr. Kelman’s favor in July 2012, permanently enjoining Mrs. Kramer from republishing the libel. Mrs. Kramer’s appeal from the judgment was dismissed in March, 2013, when she failed [refused] to file an opening brief [in a court which the presiding justice refused to prove had subject matter jurisdiction upon challenge]. Mrs. Kramer continues to post false [corroborated as accurate] information and accusations maligning Veritox and its employees, as well as California justices, judges, court clerks and administrators, members of Congress and other elected officials. In the interest of saving time and minimizing annoyance, we currently ignore her posts regarding this matter. If you are a current or prospective client and have any questions about this, please call us for additional information and documentation.”

My actual sentences published on March 9, 2005, which Mr. Kelman, et, al. sought to muzzle, are even more relevant today than they were a decade ago to stopping fraud by exposing: 1) discriminatory policies driven by money interest, 2) willful scientific fraud upon courts by misuse of LNTs by paid-for-hire defense whores, and 3) leading California justices practicing politics [135] [136] [137] [138] not law [139] [140] [141] from their benches.

[130] 2012 Coran non judge permanent Injunction to never republish a sentence I did not publish in the first place and additional \$11.4K of sanctions and attorney fees. <http://freepdfhosting.com/ee4494b707.pdf>

[131] 2012 Notice to Judge Nugent of refusal to sign the false confession
<http://freepdfhosting.com/e3c9d6c4c7.pdf>

[132] 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion <http://freepdfhosting.com/f82eb3d2d4.pdf> Page 10, as written by Justice Judith McConnell, Presiding Justice of the 4th/1st *"The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Killian deposition testimony could be viewed by a reasonable jury as resulting from the poor phrasing of the question rather than from an attempt to deny payment"*.

[133] In the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion, fourteen key lines were omitted from the middle of the transcript of the Oregon trial. The redacted lines show Kelman and the defense attorney unsuccessfully trying to stop the line of questioning. [See page 4 in the above footnote for the 4th/1st redacted reciting of the Oregon transcript, changing the color of Kelman's testimony to make it appear to be clarifying rather than obfuscating] This link offers details: <http://freepdfhosting.com/12f6aafb63.pdf>

[134] September 2015 Veritox website lying of what occurred by criminal means in the SLAPPs:
<https://web.archive.org/web/20150502200452/http://www.veritox.com/>

[135] 2000 4th/1st Published Opinion, *Rattan v. USAA*, Case law established: An insurer (in a fire & mold case) has not acted in bad faith and owes no duty to their insured if an item in peril (the insureds' home) is damaged during the rescue (remediation) by the insurer's recommended vendor (cheap contractor for the insurer to pay).
<http://caselaw.findlaw.com/ca-court-of-appeal/1130333.html>

[136] 2015 Property Insurer Law Blog *"Are Homeowner Insurers Liable for Faulty Work of Their 'Preferred' Vendors?" "These questions were answered by a California court in Rattan v. United Services Automobile Association."*
http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?q=Rattan&hl=en&as_sdt=4,5&case=14505548825059795157&scilh=0

[137] 2004 4th/1st Published Opinion, *Coldwell Banker vs. Salazar*, Case law established: A real estate broker and their insurer owe no duty to a child injured by real-estate-agent-concealed mold, lead, etc, in the child's dwelling because the child was not party to the real estate transaction. <http://caselaw.findlaw.com/ca-court-of-appeal/1176007.html>

[138] 2007 4th/1st Published Opinion, *Sycamore Ridge Apartments LLC, v. Naumann et al.*, Case law established: An attorney who takes over a (mold) case can be held liable for errors made by a prior counsel – thereby causing many WDB injured people to be unable to obtain effective counsel once they have had inadequate counsel.
<http://caselaw.findlaw.com/ca-court-of-appeal/1072541.html>

American College of Medical Toxicology, Choose Wisely To Sunset Your Mold Statement

[139] 2006 4th/1st Unpublished Opinion *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* Unwritten law established: A plaintiff in a SLAPP suit who writes scientific fraud to aid insurer fraud nationwide, can commit perjury to establish malice if he, his clients, and jurists involved are considered “*too big to jail*”.

[140] 2010 4th/1st Unpublished Opinion *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* Unwritten law established: A void judgment can be used to conceal that 4th/1st justices fixed a 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion while concealing plaintiff perjury to aid insurer fraud to continue nationwide.

[141] 2013 4th/1st *Kelman v Kramer* Unwritten law: A void judgment can be used as the sole foundational document to a litigation to (unsuccessfully) harass someone into silence that 4th/1st justices fixed a SLAPP suit, twice, to aid insurer fraud in discrimination of the environmentally disabled, nationwide.