

## VII.

### **A DECADE OF RETALIATORY CRIME BY CALIFORNIA JURISTS, CLERKS, ATTORNEYS & MR. KELMAN TO KEEP THE VERITOX THEORY IN U.S. POLICIES, PHYSICIAN EDUCATION & COURTS**

Over the years I have heard Veritox, Inc. owners and affiliates referred to as “snake oil salesmen”, “doubt-sellers”, “tobacco scientists”, “insurer-hired-guns”[76], “front men of industry”, “environmental health hazards”, “defense whores” and “criminals” possessing “toxic clout”. For good cause based on a decade-worth of irrefutable direct evidence, I find all of these characterizations to be true and accurate.

I have a degree in marketing which enabled me to quickly grasp by the mid-2000’s how it became a false concept in policy and courts that it was proven microbial toxins in WDB could never reach a harmful level. By reading the marketing materials (professional advice by “learned bodies of science and medicine” published in medical journals as alleged-legitimate peer-reviewed research) it was easy for me to trace back the origin of the diabolical mass-marketing scheme legitimized by “nonprofit” medical trade associations to one “garbage science” LNT, namely the Veritox Theory.

A decade ago, I was naïve to the fact that merely telling the truth in the United States of America on behalf of the weak, poor, less-educated, and disabled can be harmful to one’s own health, safety, and welfare. I honestly believed that “public servants” would protect the health and safety of U.S. citizens from profitable fraud, waste, and abuse.

Toward the goal of offering them greater understanding of the massive problems being caused by the LNT Veritox Theory, on March 9, 2005 I published a writing on the Internet. It explains how the Veritox Theory was systematically mass-marketed for monetary gain, to cause jurists, jurors, and all decision makers to discriminate against WDB-disabled and dying.

My 2005 writing was the first public writing exposing the mass-marketing scheme to commit scientific fraud upon the courts purposed toward discriminatory insurer fraud, by use of the wrongfully-legitimized Veritox Theory. Titled, “Jury Finds ‘Toxic Mold’ Harmed Oregon Family, Builder’s Arbitration Clause Not Binding”,[77] the writing names those who I knew at the time were involved in the massive scam, including a United States Congressman. I wrote of the deceptive marketing in the context of an Oregon trial, *Haynes v Adair Homes*.

My now decade old writing is the epitome of what anti-SLAPP (Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation) laws are meant to protect the public from – retaliation under the color of law for exposing fraudsters and frauds purposed toward harming the public.[78] Yet, what has happened to me for telling the truth to save lives is a chapter for the American history books. It is one more chapter of how well-connected fraudsters are aided and abetted by United States state and federal agencies and entities and the courts to thwart advancements of environmental science and medicine, to great detriment of the public.

As was brought to light in my 2005 writing exposing how the Veritox Theory became current accepted science, when serving as an expert defense witness in the *Haynes* Oregon trial on February 18, 2005, Mr. Kelman attempted to stop questions regarding the collaborative effort to legitimize and mass-market the Veritox Theory. [79, transcript of Kelman's testimony in *Haynes*.] Unsuccessful, he was forced to discuss the relationship of the "nonprofit" medical association, ACOEM, to the "nonprofit" industry-think-tank, Manhattan Institute, in the proliferation of the scam. He shouted, feigned confusion, and portrayed he was offended by questions of being paid for the Veritox Theory. Taking his cue, the defense attorney then also attempted to stop the questions.

The judge would have ended the line of questioning had the plaintiff attorney, Calvin "Kelly" Vance, not had the transcript of a prior testimony of Mr. Kelman's from a 2004 Arizona bench trial, *Kilian v. Equity Residential Properties Trust*, in its entirety. Equity Residential Trust is also a member of the "nonprofit" NAA.

I am responsible for Mr. Vance having the *Kilian* transcript which forced Mr. Kelman to discuss the scheme in front of the Oregon jury. I had received a copy from Arizona plaintiff attorney, Richard Langerman in January of 2005. Recognizing that **if the *Kilian* transcript was properly used, it was the smoking-gun to expose and stop the fraud of the Veritox Theory** [80, transcript of Kelman's testimony in *Kilian*], I had it converted into a pdf and emailed it to mold advocates across the U.S. Mr. Vance received a copy from one of those advocates who was his client at the time, Susan Lillard Roberts. I had never spoken to Mr. Vance prior to interviewing him for my 2005 writing.

When the Oregon judge allowed the questioning to continue because of the transcript of Mr. Kelman's prior testimony from *Kilian* being available in its entirety, Mr. Kelman then tried to say that the two mold statements (allegedly unbiased ACOEM's and paid-for-hire U.S. Chamber's) were not connected while simultaneously having to admit that they are. The Oregon jury saw through his unartful-dodges and altering/flip-flopping testimony about the relationship of versions of the Veritox Theory. They awarded a half million dollars for the Haynes family "toxic mold" injuries in their newly-built WDB home. (Note: thus far I have given examples of the Veritox Theory being scientific fraud purposed toward insurer fraud in workmans' compensation, in landlord liability insurance, and now in new construction)

The March 2005 jury verdict for plaintiffs in Oregon made the *Haynes* trial nationally significant. It was a first in the Northwest to award damages for disability caused by "toxic mold" in WDB new construction. It was an excellent example of how to win a mold trial in spite of the Veritox Theory being portrayed by Mr. Kelman and his "esteemed colleagues" in "learned bodies" as evidence based medicine.

My writing of the scam wounded the cold heart (the Veritox Theory) of the defense in all mold litigations where CIRS-WDB and death had occurred. They were out for blood. In their retaliatory rage to get me for exposing their fraud, they took foolish acts which helped to shed even greater light on the problem.

The following cases have been written about many times in many venues which has caused many to understand that the Veritox Theory is one of the biggest frauds ever perpetrated on the American public. Even with the cases being fixed for Mr. Kelman et. al., by the compromised judicial officers in the San Diego superior and appellate courts, their foolish SLAPP suits helped me to gain attention to help shed light on the scientific fraud behind the LNT Veritox Theory in mold cases throughout the country. I was even able to get the Wall Street Journal to write of it. [Word of advice: never try to use criminal means to silence someone with degree in marketing. They'll use your aggressions as exhibits.]

In May of 2005, Mr. Kelman and Veritox, Inc., (formerly GlobalTox, Inc.) sued me for libel in a California state court for the words "*altered his under oath statements*" in the writing. I accurately used the words in a sentence which was part of the story of how the Veritox Theory became current accepted science by unethical means. From my writing:

*"Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure."*

My writing stated the think-tank money was for the U.S. Chamber version of the Veritox Theory and I described how it was connected to the allegedly unbiased version written for Mr. Kelman's "esteemed colleagues" in the "learned body" of ACOEM.

*In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations ["nonprofits"]. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine [ACOEM]"*

They were trying to stop sunlight on how the scientifically fraudulent Veritox Theory was mass marketed into policy – that it was proven "toxic mold" does not harm -- purposed toward misleading courts to deny liability for parties who are financially responsible for the disabling and killing of people. (Mainly this would be various types of insurance policies such as property-casualty, workcomp, errors and omissions, and health)

The California SLAPP suits (there were two, one beginning in 2005 and one in 2010[81]) were fixed to a false finding of libel with actual malice by jurists, Mr. Kelman's attorney Keith Scheuer, and court clerks. They systematically suppressed evidence that Mr. Kelman frequently lies under oath when serving as a toxic tort defense witness [82]. (and as a plaintiff in SLAPP suits) and that the Veritox Theory is one of the biggest scams ever perpetrated on the U.S. public.

As merely one of many examples of Mr. Kelman lying in *Haynes* when forced to discuss the money trail in the proliferation of the Veritox Theory:

VANCE[83] QUESTION: *“So, you participated in writing the study, your company was paid very handsomely for it, and then you go out and you testify around a country legitimizing the study that you wrote. Isn't that a conflict of interest, sir?”* KELMAN ANSWER: *“Sir, that is a complete lie.”* [fn 79 page 55]

It is not a lie. Mr. Kelman and Veritox, Inc. *have* been paid very handsomely for authorship and uncountable false testimonies as to the validity of the multi-billion dollar fraud, the LNT Veritox Theory.

Against all odds and a decade of retaliatory wrath under the color of law in the San Diego Superior and Appellate courts, I have not been deterred from trying to save lives by stopping the many variations and uses of the Veritox Theory fraud (such as the ACMT Mold Statement). Nor has the criminal wrath in the California courts shielded the conflicted interest behind the origin and proliferation of the Veritox Theory from exposure to public light. [See fn 10, 16, 39, 41, 181, 185, 202, 206]

Taking the California SLAPP matters clearly into the realm of criminality under the cloak of law whilst trying to discredit, scare and harass me into silence of a massive fraud; Mr. Kelman, beginning in 2005 committed criminal perjury as a plaintiff in the first SLAPP to manufacture a libel-law needed reason for my alleged personal malice for him.

By use of plaintiff perjury, attorney suborning of perjury, and judicial suppression of evidence of perjury, it was falsely made to appear throughout the decade of harassment that I was a sour-grapes litigant who wrote of the *Haynes* case because I was out to get a great and noble man of science, Mr. Kelman. It was falsely made to appear that Mr. Kelman had harmed me personally, when retained as an expert defense witness in my own mold lawsuit of long ago. Although there was never one shred of evidence provided in the decade of SLAPP that I was remotely unhappy with Mr. Kelman's involvement in my own lawsuit (because I wasn't) it was falsely made to appear for a decade in the California courts I was allegedly:

*“Apparently furious that the science conflicted with her dreams of a remodeled house, Kramer launched into an obsessive campaign to destroy the reputation of Dr. Kelman and GlobalTox”.*[83] Mr. Kelman's attorney, Keith Scheuer, suborning Mr. Kelman's perjury to manufacture malice. One of multiple examples of which the court officers repeated suppressed the evidence was suborning of material perjury. [84, 85]

The reality is, it is a matter of public record that my family received nearly a half-of-million-dollar settlement in our mold case of 2003, caused by a leak in an ice-maker line. That was plenty of money to remodel a house if that were my goal.[86] The reality is, I could have easily moved on from the Toxic Mold Issue long ago were it not for my concern for my fellowman.

The rewarded perjury and suborning of perjury to manufacture reason for malice in the first SLAPP was to avert attention that I exposed a massive public defrauding of which Mr. Kelman is a central figure. In the second SLAPP, the rewarding of the criminality was to conceal that leading California jurists have been practicing politics by criminal means from their benches to aid the continuance of the public defrauding via their aiding the Veritox Theory, now for a decade. [See fn 85 & 90]

Digging a hole deep enough for many to plie into, from 2005 to 2013 all (*emphasis added*) California judges and justices to oversee the matters suppressed the direct evidence of Mr. Kelman's material perjury, of Mr. Scheuer's repeated suborning of it, and of prior jurists suppressing the evidence.[86] So did the California State Bar.[87] The Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) did, too, whilst shielding the main-case-fixer, CJP Chairwoman Justice Judith McConnell, from addressing her suppression of material evidence of perjury in a case with national significance. [89]

In addition to suppressing evidence of Mr. Kelman's perjury and Mr. Scheuer's suborning of it in SLAPP, court clerks falsified multiple material court documents and electronic case records [90] (which is easy to do without detection[91]). The California jurists relied on the clerks' falsified documents to abet the continued usage of the Veritox Theory in U.S. litigations, claims handling practices, medical association position statements, mainstream physician education, building maintenance practices, and courts – all purposed toward insurer fraud, for now a decade.

By felonious acts [92] to aid insure fraud the multiple jurists, Mr. Kelman, his attorney, and several clerks knowingly caused the resultant mass discrimination against the CIRS-WDB disabled to continue nationwide. As a mere example of what the Fourth District Division One Court of Appeal (4th/1st) justices knew they were aiding to continue by concealing Mr. Kelman's perjury and multiple court document falsifications in SLAPPs, is my January 2010 Reply to the 4th/1st Query.[93] It states,

*“**When** this Reviewing Court acknowledges what legally cannot be denied: Kramer's overwhelming, uncontroverted and irrefutable evidence that seven judges and justices ignored Kramer's overwhelming, uncontroverted and irrefutable evidence of Kelman's perjury on the issue of malice and ignored Kramer's vast evidence of Scheuer's willful suborning of Kelman's criminal perjury; **then** seven years-worth of scientific fraud perpetrated on US Courts over the mold issue by the US Chamber of Commerce et al, will immediately cease by the acknowledgment that their author of their scientific fraud has no qualms about lying under oath to the courts and strategically litigating..”*

In the process of case-fixing SLAPP for mass insurer fraud while causing discrimination of the environmentally disabled, they also egregiously violated federal law and my civil rights to lawfully advocate for the environmentally disabled without criminal retaliation by compromised court officers and their clerks, aiding corporate fraudsters and their attorney. [94]

Additionally, as a result of California court officers collusively fixing the first SLAPP suit and their clerks falsifying multiple court documents and electronic case records, Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer began recording tens of thousands of dollars of fraudulent liens against my property in 2009 by use of a 2008 court-issued fraudulent abstract of judgment. The abstract shows a date of judgement entry of September 24, 2008. This is not possible and there is no notice of entry of judgement for that date. [95] [96]

The faces of the abstract and resultant lien from the first SLAPP provide direct evidence that interest miraculously (and criminally) accrues from a date before costs were submitted by Mr. Scheuer on October 14, 2008. The abstract and lien differ from the face of the equally fraudulent void judgement, which shows a date of entry of judgment as December 18, 2008. **There is no notice of entry of judgement dated December 18, 2008, because it did not happen. The judgment document from the first SLAPP is a fraud.**

The 2008 void judgment was back-dated twice by “MGarland” rendering it a worthless piece of paper that cannot lawfully be used for any purpose (Michael Garland was clerk of the trial court presided over by Judge Lisa Schall, the judge with the worst ethics record in the entire State of California according to CJP records. [97]).

Though legally worthless, Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer, with the aid of multiple superior court judges and appellate court justices, continued to use the 2008 void judgment for five more years to harass me in the courts. It became a coram non iudice collaboration to cover-up the document falsifications and rewarding of perjury in SLAPP, purposed toward aiding the Veritox Theory to continue in courtrooms and claims-handling practices all across America.[99] This is called “*racketeering with no judicial immunity from prosecution*”.

Void on its face and therefore legally invalid [100] the 2008 judgment from the first SLAPP suit was amended in 2011 by Judge Earl Maas III. He refused to vacate it and instead amended to acknowledge that I prevailed over Veritox in trial and am entitled to costs. I have never been able to collect my costs because I would have to use the still void judgment to record an abstract; which would cover-up that it is a void legal document.

Regardless of its nullity, the void judgement from the first SLAPP was used by Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer in 2010 as the sole foundational document in the second SLAPP. (this was before amendment in 2011 by Judge Maas III and still permanently void on its face because of 2008/09 backdating by “MGarland”)

All jurists to oversee the second SLAPP were made aware that their courts had no subject matter jurisdiction. [100] Never denied with any refuting evidence, they just kept using the falsified judgment document as feigned legal reason to continue to try to harass me into silence of their multiple acts of case fixing for a diabolical insurer fraud scheme – to no avail.

Prior to Mr. Kelman and Mr. Scheuer using the void judgement from the first SLAPP as the sole foundational document to the second SLAPP in November of 2010; 4th/1st Appellate Justices Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke and Joanne Irrion concealed that the judgment was void on its face in their September 2010 appellate opinion [101]. In April of 2009, Judge William Dato (now presiding judge of the North San Diego Superior Court) issued a ruling that I was entitled to costs in the first SLAPP. But he would not amend the judgment that was known to him at the time to be fraudulent, and void on its face with no notice of entry.

Judge Dato's clerk had previously been involved with Judge Schall's clerk, "MGarland" to conceal the document falsification and back-dating. Judge Dato's clerk mailed me a yellow-post it in January of 2009 to try to make the back-dating appear to be lawful to a Propria Persona defendant, me. [102] It is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 5**. (Note this bolded fn is file stamped, meaning the appellate justices had this post it notice when they concealed the void judgment in their 2010 appellate opinion. Also attached is the electronic case record from the first SLAPP showing nothing occurred in the case on 12/18/08 – contrary to the face of the void judgment)

In 2013, I received a thinly veiled threat from Judge Robert Dahlquist in the second SLAPP suit that if I filed any more motions to have remittitur recalled and void judgements vacated from either SLAPP suit, he would (coram non judge) deem me a "vexatious litigant" by motion of Mr. Scheuer.[103]

[Interpretation for lay people: One cannot alter a judgement document after judicial signature without initialing or dating to make it appear that things occurred in a court case on dates that they did not; then add one's initials and date next to change at a later date to make it appear changes occurred on a different date; and then continue to use the falsified document to try to harass someone into silence of what multiple jurists and clerks did to aid continuance of the main weapon used in a discriminatory insurer fraud scam of epic proportion --the Veritox Theory. It is a felony to falsify court documents under California Penal Code 134 and additional felonies to take actions to cover-up the falsifications. [See fn 92] One also cannot abuse the courts to threaten a United States citizen that if they motion again to have prior falsified court documents nullified to cause an insurer scam stopped, the motions will be denied and they will lose their right to ever file a lawsuit without posting a \$25,000 bond via being deemed a vexatious litigant by a judge, not a court]

By law, judicial use of a void judgment means the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. This is supposed to mean no judicial immunity from prosecution for aiding the owners of Veritox, Inc. et. al. to defraud the public by the harassing, criminal acts in SLAPP. Ignoring the lack of jurisdiction, jurists refused multiple times to prove it exists upon challenges. The judges and justices were made aware with uncontroverted evidence that their coram non judge crimes were aiding a massive fraud in mass discrimination of the environmentally disabled and dying. With reckless disregard for the truth, law, and the public they just kept on harassing me. [103]

---

## American College of Medical Toxicology, Choose Wisely To Sunset Your Mold Statement

[76] 2007 Example of Veritox, Inc. bullying those who expose they are insurer-hired-guns and their Veritox Theory is nonsensical fraud upon the court. Threat from Veritox to attorney Dodson  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/65e1577dd4.pdf>

[77] March 9, 2005 PRWeb & Industrial News, March 2005, SKramer "Jury Finds 'Toxic Mold' Harmed Oregon Family, Builder's Arbitration Clause Not Binding" <http://freepdfhosting.com/4051da7b08.pdf>

[78] anti-SLAPP law C.C.P. 425.16 <http://codes.findlaw.com/ca/code-of-civil-procedure/ccp-sect-425-16.html>

[79] February 18, 2005 *Haynes v Adair Homes* Testimony of Bruce J. Kelman (See pages 53-58)  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/ec0fad16ee.pdf>

[80] 2004 *Kilian* Testimonies in relevant parts. (See pdf pages 5-7) <http://freepdfhosting.com/2602d2f26b.pdf>

[81] 2005 *Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer* GIN044539 & 2010 *Kelman v. Kramer* 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC  
<http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/viewname>

[82] 2008 Declaration of Lincoln Bandlow, Esq. my trial attorney, explaining that Kelman's science was not permitted to be discussed in trial and that false hearsay documents somehow got into the jury room  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/c61a589bd0.pdf>

[83] A word about my least favorite mold attorney in the whole wide world, Kelly Vance: Although my efforts assisted Mr. Vance to discredit the Veritox Theory's use in the 2005 *Haynes* trial, Mr. Vance did not want it known that I interviewed him and three of his clients (and I had been reading Mrs. Haynes' group emails) before I wrote in 2005.

First declaration of Vance (2008) in the California SLAPP suits not acknowledging that I interviewed him before I wrote. <http://freepdfhosting.com/ceea3fbab8.pdf>

Second declaration of Vance submitted sue sponte shortly before the Motion for Summary Judgment was denied in 2008 by Judge Michael P. Orfield, falsely making it appear that Vance somehow had superior knowledge that I had personal malice for Mr. Kelman; thus aiding the SLAPP retaliation to continue to harm me, and the Veritox Theory to continue to harm thousands already injured by WDBs. <http://freepdfhosting.com/5c31d2331b.pdf>

Mr. Vance had been under scrutiny by the Oregon State Bar for ethics violations. He did not want to be involved in the SLAPP suits. <https://www.osbar.org/publications/bulletin/06jun/discipline.html>

His clients, Mr. and Mrs Haynes (now divorced), had been sued for libel relating to their mold litigation, by what appears to be retaliation for writing of fraud. [http://kellyvancelaw.com/toxicmold/oregon\\_mold\\_litigation7.html](http://kellyvancelaw.com/toxicmold/oregon_mold_litigation7.html)

Email correspondence with Mrs. Haynes after the damage was done by the mean-spirited, misleading sue sponte declarations in the SLAPP (seems they were mad that I would not spoon-feed Vance information of the Veritox Theory after they refused to state that I interviewed them) <http://freepdfhosting.com/499d8d0567.pdf>

Email correspondence with Vance (an odd duck, who has aided to harm many people to this very day, including me, with his demanding ignorance and self-absorbed ego) He was offering to put the dispute behind us so I could have the privilege of spoon-feeding him again - free of charge. <http://freepdfhosting.com/5df623a66a.pdf>

[84.] 2005 Kelman's Opposition to Motion to Strike in the first SLAPP (see pg 5)  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/4020653ff0.pdf>

## American College of Medical Toxicology, Choose Wisely To Sunset Your Mold Statement

[85] "Environmental Advocate Sharon Kramer ~US DOJ Lying Experts~Cal Courts & Mold~ Not a pretty story!!" <http://wp.me/PIYPz-3AJ> They all kept lying and falsifying court documents throughout the SLAPPS multiple times. Note that many of the links in this document are file stamped, meaning the appellate justices had these when the SLAPPS have been on appeal.

[86] 2003 Public record proof they lied to manufacture malice. This is three of the four settlements from my mold case of 2003, all signed by Judge Michael P. Orfield <http://freepdfhosting.com/390c7a1bf3.pdf> I cannot state the exact amount we received in total, because I have a confidentiality agreement with one of the cross-defendants which precludes me from doing so.

[87] 2006 4th/1st anti-SLAPP Opinion excerpt --Judith McConnell, Cynthia Aaron and Alex MacDonald suppressed evidence of Kelman's perjury to manufacture reason for malice <http://freepdfhosting.com/a87355dc1e.pdf>

[88] 2010 State Bar claiming they would review (this one is a story in and of itself of what was done to conceal the collusion to defraud – too long for this writing) <http://freepdfhosting.com/03864cfbcb.pdf>

[89] 2010 CJP claiming they could not intercede to stop fraud upon the court by their chairwoman, Justice Judith McConnell et. al. <http://freepdfhosting.com/24c64a5060.pdf>

[90] 2011 "Is The California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Being Misused For Politics In Policy & Litigation...And The Fleecing Of The California Taxpayer Over The Mold Issue?" The clerks were falsifying court documents and electronic records as the jurists were fixing the case. The Judicial Council was no help to stop the fraud. In 2012, I was sentenced to five days in jail for posting the direct evidence of falsified court documents and electronic records on Katy's Exposure – by a judge whose court had no subject matter jurisdiction. <http://wp.me/pIYPz-3aV>

[91] June 2015, Grand Jury probe for electronic records fixing in the Orange County Courts showing it is easy to do without detection <http://www.ocregister.com/articles/court-667679-defendants-borris.html>

[92] Penal Code 134, It's a felony to alter court documents and electronic case records, and to conceal the falsifications of oneself and others. <http://www.lacriminaldefensepartners.com/preparing-false-evidence-california-penal-code-134/>

[93] Jan 2010 Reply to Court's Query, See page 44 for suppressed evidence of what the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> justices knew they were doing to cause mass discrimination, nationwide. <http://freepdfhosting.com/fbb3336368.pdf>

[94] 42 U.S.C. §§ 12201–12213. Title V includes an anti-retaliation/coercion provision. The Assistance Manual for the ADA explains it: "*III-3.6000 Retaliation or coercion. Individuals who exercise their rights under the ADA, or assist others in exercising their rights, are protected from retaliation. The prohibition against retaliation or coercion applies broadly to any individual or entity that seeks to prevent an individual from exercising his or her rights or to retaliate against him or her for having exercised those rights ..Any form of retaliation or coercion, including threats, intimidation, or interference is prohibited if it is intended to interfere*" <http://www.ada.gov/taman3.html>

**[95] 2008 Void Judgement and conflicting Abstract of Judgement/Lien from the first SLAPP suit**  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/b860cd20c2.pdf>

[96] 2012 2nd fraudulent lien recorded for \$19K in the second SLAPP <http://freepdfhosting.com/52fc73c449.pdf>

[97] 2014 "Voter Questions Posed to San Diego Judicial Candidate Lisa Schall" <http://wp.me/pIYPz-3JB>

[98] **Details of how the 2008 judgment was falsified and used.** <http://freepdfhosting.com/abec667216.pdf>

[99] 2013, Presiding Justice of the 4th/1st issuing findings while refusing to establish that her court had subject matter jurisdiction – because she knew it did not. <http://freepdfhosting.com/51a65aa92a.pdf>

[100] **The 2008 void judgement from the first SLAPP as amended (2011) one year after it was submitted as the sole foundational document to the second SLAPP in 2010 by Kelman and Scheuer. (See page 3).**

<http://freepdfhosting.com/786b95b2bf.pdf>

[101] 2010 Appellate Opinion *“The trial court, with a different trial judge presiding, heard Kramer’s cost motion on April 3, 2009, and awarded her a total of \$2,545.28” FALSE.* No judgment was entered awarding my costs – they would have had to acknowledge the judgment was backdated by clerks and a fraudulent lien had been recorded. (there is a lot more to this aspect. The appellate justices concealed that Scheuer comingled his clients’ costs when recording the fraudulent lien in 2009. Mr. Scheuer recorded a lien against my property for his costs incurred by a party that I prevailed over in trial, Veritox, Inc.)

<http://www.leagle.com/decision/In%20CACO%2020100914027/KELMAN%20v.%20KRAMER>

[102] April 2009, Dato ruling (but no judgment ever issued) <http://freepdfhosting.com/6b94b07e42.pdf> &

**EXHIBIT 5, January 2009 yellow post-it mailed from Dato’s clerk attached to Garland’s backdated judgment “MGarland 12/18/08 “ with no matching notice of entry in existence – because it never happened. The document is a fraud. & the electronic case record (ROA) showing nothing occurred in the case on 12/18/08**

Post it notice: <http://freepdfhosting.com/cc26abb578.pdf> discredited by

ROA <http://freepdfhosting.com/f94156ccce.pdf>

& January 2009, ruling by former Presiding Judge of the North San Diego County Superior Court, Joel Pressman, refusing to hear my post-trial Motions for Reconsideration under the false pretense that an amended judgment had been entered on December 18, 2008 – Note: the notice file stamp, meaning the appellate justices of Richard Huffman, Patricia Benke, and Joan Irrion had this document when issuing their case-fixing 2010 appellate opinion concealing the judgment is a fraudulent document. <http://freepdfhosting.com/5ac3cc36da.pdf>

[103] 2013 Register of Action in 2nd SLAPP where the void judgment from the first SLAPP was used as the sole foundational document of the case – coram non judge. See 2nd entry page 1 for Judge Dahlquist denying Scheuer’s vexatious litigant motion *“without prejudice”* <http://freepdfhosting.com/01f7a6c48b.pdf> & 2013 notice to Justices McConnell et. al. to *“stop harassing me”* <http://freepdfhosting.com/bb0ea71958.pdf>